BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2011-5-G

November 2, 2011

| IN RE: | Annual Review of Purchased Gas Adjustment and Gas Purchasing Policies of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company |) | SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT |
|--------|--|---|-------------------------|
| | |) | |

This Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement") is made by and between the South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff ("ORS") and South Carolina Electric & Gas Company ("SCE&G" or "Company") (collectively referred to as the "Parties" or sometimes individually as "Party");

WHEREAS, on June 7, 2011, the Public Service Commission of South Carolina ("Commission") issued the notice of hearing for the 2011 Annual Review of Purchased Gas Adjustment and Gas Purchasing Policies ("PGA") of SCE&G;

WHEREAS, the purpose of this proceeding is to review matters related to SCE&G's gas purchasing practices and policies, administration of its purchased gas adjustment, and the recovery of its gas costs;

WHEREAS, the period under review in this docket is August 1, 2010 to July 31, 2011 ("Review Period");

WHEREAS, ORS examined the books and records of SCE&G and conducted inquiries and analyses related to the Company's gas purchasing practices and policies, administration of its purchased gas adjustment, and the recovery of its gas costs for the Review Period;

WHEREAS, ORS determined that during the Review Period, SCE&G: a) properly administered the purchased gas adjustment and correctly adjusted the gas cost recovery factors for each customer class in accordance with the terms of Order No. 2006-679 as modified by Order No. 2009-910; b) employed prudent gas purchasing practices and policies; c) recovered its gas costs consistent with applicable tariffs and Commission orders; d) conducted and administered its hedging program consistent with the authorization granted in Order No. 2006-679 and as modified in Order No. 2008-546; and e) was prepared during the Review Period and is currently prepared to meet its firm customers' projected needs via its future supply and capacity asset plans;

WHEREAS, in Docket No. 2011-82-G, ORS filed a request with the Commission for the suspension of the SCE&G and Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. hedging programs based on current and forecasted natural gas market conditions, which request ORS subsequently withdrew based upon its recommendation that the hedging programs for each company should be addressed on an individual case basis in their respective annual PGA dockets;

WHEREAS, consistent with ORS's position in Docket No. 2011-82-G, ORS has completed its evaluation of SCE&G's hedging program as part of this annual PGA review and recommends SCE&G's hedging program be suspended at this time;

WHEREFORE, the Parties have engaged in discussions and in the spirit of compromise, the Parties hereby stipulate and agree to the following terms and conditions:

1. The Parties agree to stipulate into the record before the Commission this Settlement Agreement. The Parties further agree to stipulate into the record the pre-filed direct testimony and exhibits of Martin K. Phalen, Rose M. Jackson, Alice A. Fox, John O. Powers, and Carey M. Stites and the pre-filed settlement testimony of Rose M. Jackson included herein as Attachment 1

without cross-examination. Furthermore, each witness will take the stand to present his or her testimony and, if necessary, make non-material changes to their testimony comparable to those that would be presented via an errata sheet or through a witness noting a correction. With respect to this Settlement Agreement, Company Witnesses Jackson and Fox are the witnesses designated to be primarily responsible for providing support for the Settlement Agreement at the hearing scheduled in this case.

- 2. The Parties agree that a carrying cost adjustment in the amount of \$25,702, has been applied to increase the Company's cumulative over collection balance to account for the initial effect of including unbilled purchased gas costs, as approved in Order No. 2009-910, in the interest calculation on the (Over)/Under-collected balance. The Parties also agree that a second adjustment, in the amount of \$825,176, has been applied to decrease the Company's cumulative over collection balance to account for the removal of net credits in its gas cost calculations. Finally, the Parties agree that a third adjustment, in the amount of \$583,926, has been applied to increase the Company's cumulative over collection balance to account for the Company's assignment of commodity cost of gas supply for Jasper electric as gas costs for its LDC operations. For the purpose of setting the gas cost recovery factors, the Parties accept the use of ORS's cost of gas calculations for the period August 1, 2010 through July 31, 2011 as set forth in Settlement Exhibit No. 1 attached hereto.
- 3. The Parties agree to maintain the monthly adjustment procedure and notification procedure for the total cost of gas factors as adopted in Commission Order No. 2006-679 and amended by Commission Order No. 2009-910.
- 4. The Parties acknowledge the demand charges included in the total cost of gas factors will continue to be calculated as set forth in Commission Docket No. 2006-5-G, Order No. 2006-

679 by distributing such costs among the rate schedules based upon a 50-50 allocation of peak design day demand and annual forecast sales. SCE&G agrees to use the 50-50 allocation of peak design day demand and annual forecast sales for demand charges in any recalculation of total cost of gas factors under this Settlement Agreement. The Parties agree that the allocation factors contained on pages 3-4 in Ms. Fox's pre-filed direct testimony (Residential 66.10%; Small General Service/Medium General Service 31.52%; and Large General Service 2.38%) are appropriate and should be used for the cost of gas calculations beginning with the first billing cycle of January 2012.

- 5. As part of this Settlement Agreement, the Parties agree that SCE&G shall continue to charge and recover carrying costs, if applicable, on the cumulative total over- or under-collection balances in the same method and with the same limitations as set forth in Commission Docket No. 2006-5-G, Order No. 2006-679.
- 6. The Parties agree that the hedging program and methodologies approved by Commission Order No. 2006-679 in Docket No. 2006-5-G for the Company's natural gas supplies were conducted and administered during the Review Period consistent with Order No. 2006-679 and as modified by Order No. 2008-546.
- 7. SCE&G agrees with ORS's recommendation that the Company's hedging program should be suspended at this time, subject to Commission approval of this Settlement Agreement and to the terms and conditions set forth herein.
- 8. The Parties agree that, in the event the Commission approves this Settlement Agreement and the suspension of the hedging program as set forth herein, SCE&G's hedging program should be suspended effective on the date of notice of the Commission's decision to SCE&G to suspend its program, which suspension shall continue until the Commission orders

otherwise. Thereafter, the Parties agree that SCE&G shall not acquire any new financial hedging positions for its natural gas supplies until further directive or order of the Commission.

- 9. The Parties recognize that there are financial hedges in place under the provisions of Order No. 2006-679 as modified by Order No. 2008-546. In the event the Commission approves this Settlement Agreement and the suspension of the hedging program as set forth herein, the Parties agree that all of SCE&G's existing hedges should continue to be maintained and managed consistent with SCE&G's approved hedging program and should be audited by ORS in SCE&G's succeeding PGA proceedings. The Parties further agree that, assuming existing hedges were purchased in accordance with the Commission approved program, all unrecovered costs of operating the programs and any unrecovered additions to the cost of gas should be permitted to be recovered by SCE&G through its costs of natural gas. Consistent with previous Commission practice and precedent, any gains (not previously accounted for) will be booked as subtractions to the cost of gas.
- 10. Until such time as all of SCE&G's existing hedges expire, SCE&G agrees to continue reporting to the Commission and ORS within 30 days following the close of each month the results of the hedging program for the preceding month, which report shall include the hedging transactions closed-out during the month, the additions to or subtractions from the cost of gas resulting from closed-out contracts, the costs of operating the program during the month, and a list of open transactions as of the last day of the month for each succeeding month. Following the expiration of all of SCE&G's existing hedges, SCE&G shall not be required to submit reports on the hedging program to the Commission or ORS unless and until the Commission orders otherwise.

The Parties further agree that, until such time as all of SCE&G's existing hedges expire, SCE&G's hedging program shall continue to be operated independent of and shall be accounted for separate from its purchase of physical gas supply.

- 11. ORS is charged by law with the duty to represent the public interest of South Carolina pursuant to S.C. Code § 58-4-10(B). S.C. Code § 58-4-10(B)(1) through (3) reads in part as follows:
 - ... 'public interest' means a balancing of the following:
 - (1) concerns of the using and consuming public with respect to public utility services, regardless of the class of customer;
 - (2) economic development and job attraction and retention in South Carolina; and
 - (3) preservation of the financial integrity of the State's public utilities and continued investment in and maintenance of utility facilities so as to provide reliable and high quality utility services.

ORS believes this Settlement Agreement reached among the Parties serves the public interest as defined above.

- 12. The Parties agree to advocate that the Commission accept and approve this Settlement Agreement in its entirety as a fair, reasonable and full resolution of all issues in the above-captioned proceeding and to take no action inconsistent with its adoption by the Commission. The Parties agree to use reasonable efforts to defend and support any Commission order issued approving this Settlement Agreement and the terms and conditions contained herein.
- 13. The Parties agree that signing this Settlement Agreement will not constrain, inhibit, impair, or prejudice their arguments or positions held in other collateral proceedings, nor will it constitute a precedent or evidence of acceptable practice in future proceedings. If the Commission declines to approve the Settlement Agreement in its entirety, then any Party desiring to do so may withdraw from the Settlement Agreement without penalty or obligation.

14. This Settlement Agreement shall be interpreted according to South Carolina law.

15. The above terms and conditions fully represent the agreement of the Parties hereto.

Therefore, each Party acknowledges its consent and agreement to this Settlement Agreement by

affixing its signature or by authorizing its counsel to affix his or her signature to this document

where indicated below. Counsel's signature represents his or her representation that his or her

client has authorized the execution of the agreement. Facsimile signatures and e-mail signatures

shall be as effective as original signatures to bind any Party. This document may be signed in

counterparts, with the various signature pages combined with the body of the document

constituting an original and provable copy of this Settlement Agreement. The Parties agree that

in the event any Party should fail to indicate its consent to this Settlement Agreement and the

terms contained herein, then this Settlement Agreement shall be null and void and will not be

binding on any Party.

[SIGNATURES ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES]

WE AGREE:

Representing the South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff

Jeffred M. Nelson, Esquire
South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff
14(1) Main Street, Suite 900
Columbia, SC 29201

Phone: (803) 737-0823 (803) 737-0895 Fax:

Email: jnelson@regstaff.sc.gov

WE AGREE:

Representing South Carolina Electric & Gas Company

K. Chad Burgess, Esquire

Matthew W. Gissendanner, Esquire

South Carolina Electric & Gas Company

Mail Code C222 220 Operation Way

Cayce, South Carolina 29033-3701

Phone: (803) 217-8141 Fax: (803) 217-7931

Email: chad.burgess@scana.com

matthew.gissendanner@scana.com

Mitchell Willoughby, Esquire

Willoughby & Hoefer, P.A.

Post Office Box 8416 930 Richland Street

Columbia, SC 29202-8416

Phone: (803) 252-3300 Fax: (803) 256-8062

Email: mwilloughby@willoughbyhoefer.com

SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY OF ROSE M. JACKSON ON BEHALF OF SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY DOCKET NO. 2011-5-G

| 1 | Q. | ARE YOU THE SAME ROSE M. JACKSON THAT HAS PREFILED |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE? |
| 3 | A. | Yes, I am. |
| 4 | Q. | WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY IN |
| 5 | | THIS PROCEEDING? |
| 6 | A. | In my settlement testimony, I discuss and support the settlement agreement |
| 7 | | ("Settlement") entered into by the parties in this proceeding and explain the terms |
| 8 | | of the Settlement as they relate to SCE&G's gas purchasing practices and the |
| 9 | | administration of the hedging program for the period under review. Additionally, |
| 10 | | I explain the terms of the Settlement related to the suspension of the Company's |
| 11 | | hedging program as recommended by ORS. |
| 12 | Q. | PLEASE PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF THE TERMS OF THE |
| 13 | | SETTLEMENT REACHED BY SCE&G AND ORS. |
| 14 | A. | For the Review Period (August 1, 2010 through July 31, 2011), the parties |
| 15 | | agree that SCE&G properly administered the purchased gas adjustment, correctly |
| 16 | | adjusted the gas cost recovery factors for each customer class, employed prudent |
| 17 | | gas purchasing practices and policies, recovered its gas costs appropriately, and |
| 18 | | was and is prepared to meet the firm demand of its customers. |

Q. HAVE THE PARTIES AGREED TO ANY FINANCIAL ADJUSTMENTS AS A RESULT OF THE SETTLEMENT?

A.

A.

Yes. As addressed by Company Witness Alice A. Fox and ORS Witness John O. Powers, the Parties agree that three adjustments should be made to the Company's (Over)/Under-collection amounts. First, the Parties agree that a carrying cost adjustment in the amount of \$25,702 has been applied to increase the Company's cumulative over-collection balance to account for the initial effect of including unbilled purchased gas costs, as approved in Order No. 2009-910, in the interest calculation on the (Over)/Under-collected balance. The Parties also agree that a second adjustment, in the amount of \$825,176 has been applied to decrease the Company's cumulative over-collection balance to account for the removal of net credits in its gas cost calculations. Finally, the Parties agree that a third adjustment in the amount of \$583,926 has been applied to increase the Company's cumulative over-collection balance to account for the Company's assignment of commodity cost of gas supply for Jasper electric as gas costs for its LDC operations.

17 Q. DO THE PARTIES RECOMMEND ANY CHANGES TO THE MONTHLY 18 ADJUSTMENT PROCEDURE OR NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR 19 THE TOTAL COST OF GAS FACTORS?

No. The parties have agreed to maintain the monthly adjustment procedure and notification procedure for the total cost of gas factors as adopted in Commission Order No. 2006-679 and amended by Commission Order No. 2009-

910. SCE&G and ORS have acknowledged that the calculation of the demand charges included in the cost of gas factors will continue to be distributed among the rate schedules based upon a 50-50 allocation of peak design day demand and annual forecast sales. Further, the parties agree that the allocation factors as contained in SCE&G Witness Alice Fox's pre-filed direct testimony are appropriate and should be used for cost of gas calculations beginning with the first billing cycle of January 2012. SCE&G has also agreed to continue to charge and recover carrying costs in accordance with Commission Order No. 2006-679.

A.

9 Q. HOW DOES THE SETTLEMENT ADDRESS THE COMPANY'S 10 HEDGING PROGRAM?

A. The parties agree that, during the Review Period, SCE&G conducted and administered the hedging program consistent with Order No. 2006-679 and modified by Order No. 2008-546. On a going forward basis, however, the parties have agreed that the Company's hedging program for natural gas purchases should be suspended at this time, subject to Commission approval.

Q. WHY HAVE THE PARTIES AGREED TO SUSPEND THE HEDGING PROGRAM AT THIS TIME?

As the Commission is aware, on February 24, 2011, ORS filed in Docket No. 2011-82-G a request for the suspension of the hedging programs for both SCE&G and Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. ("Piedmont"). In support of its request, ORS stated that the market conditions which led to the institution of the gas hedging programs to mitigate the impact to SCE&G's customers of significant

and unanticipated swings in the cost of natural gas no longer exist. Rather, ORS determined that recent developments in gas production and the correlating reduction in volatility in natural gas prices have eliminated much of the unpredictability which previously existed in the natural gas market. Based upon ORS's request, the Commission held oral arguments on this issue and issued a Notice of Generic Hearing for all jurisdictional natural gas and electrical utilities to file testimony and participate in a proceeding on this issue. On June 20, 2011, ORS recommended that the Commission address each company's hedging program on an individual case basis in their respective annual PGA dockets and withdrew its Petition for the suspension of SCE&G's and Piedmont's gas hedging programs. As indicated in the testimony of ORS Witness Carey M. Stites in this proceeding, ORS completed its evaluation of SCE&G's hedging program as part of this annual PGA docket and recommends SCE&G's hedging program be suspended at this time.

A.

Q. DOES SCE&G AGREE WITH ORS'S RECOMMENDATION THAT THE HEDGING PROGRAM BE SUSPENDED?

In light of the current market conditions in which gas prices are among the lowest and most stable in the global natural gas market coupled with SCE&G's rolling 12-month forecast of demand and commodity costs which serves as a mechanism to mitigate natural gas price volatility, SCE&G agrees with ORS's recommendation that the hedging program be suspended. However, ORS and SCE&G agree that a permanent elimination of the hedging program is not prudent

at this time due to unresolved environmental concerns regarding shale gas production.

Q. HOW DO THE PARTIES PROPOSE TO SUSPEND THE HEDGING PROGRAM?

A.

As set forth in the Settlement, ORS and SCE&G agree that, in the event the Commission approves this agreement and the suspension of the hedging program as set forth herein, SCE&G's hedging program should be suspended effective on the date of notice of the Commission decision to SCE&G to suspend its program. Thereafter, SCE&G would not purchase any new hedges until further directive or order of the Commission.

11 Q. DOES SCE&G HAVE ANY OUTSTANDING FINANCIAL HEDGES IN 12 PLACE?

Yes. Under the provisions of Order No. 2006-679 as modified by Order No. 2008-546, SCE&G has purchased call options for natural gas through the month of November 2012. ORS and SCE&G agree that all of SCE&G's existing hedges should continue to be managed consistent with SCE&G's approved hedging program and should be audited by ORS in SCE&G's succeeding PGA proceedings. Assuming existing hedges were purchased in accordance with the Commission approved program, SCE&G and ORS agree that all unrecovered costs of operating the programs and any unrecovered additions to the cost of gas should be permitted to be recovered by SCE&G through its cost of gas. Consistent with previous Commission practice and precedent, any gains realized from hedges

would be booked as subtractions to the cost of gas. As previously ordered by the Commission, SCE&G has agreed to continue reporting to the Commission and ORS within 30 days following the close of each month the results of the hedging program for the preceding month, until such time as all of SCE&G's existing hedges expire. Additionally, the parties have agreed that the hedging program shall continue to be maintained independent of and shall be accounted for separately from its purchase of physical gas supply.

8 Q. DOES THE COMPANY BELIEVE THE SETTLEMENT IS A 9 REASONABLE MEANS OF RESOLVING THE ISSUES IN THIS CASE?

A. Yes, it does. The Company respectfully requests that the Commission approve the Settlement Agreement entered by the parties in this proceeding and the findings, terms and conditions contained therein and issue an order in this proceeding incorporating its provisions.

14 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY?

15 A. Yes, it does.

10

11

12

13

SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY, INC. - DOCKET NO. 2011-5-G (OVERYUNDER REVENUE COLLECTION FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED JULY 31, 2011

| | ε | 8 | É | | 9 | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| | | | | | | 9 | ε | ε | © | 9 |
| | | | Cost Per | Billing | | Commodity | | | Total Monthly | |
| | Total Firm | Total Firm Sules | Therm | Cost Per | Difference | Collection | Demand On Militar | | (Over)Under | Consulative |
| Car | Commodity Cost | The state of | (D+(Z) | Therm | (3)-(4) | C) X (D) | Collection | Thor Month | Collection | (Over)/Under |
| | n | • | • | u | •• | 5 | \$ | Automorana Automorana | (8) + (7) + (9) | Collection |
| Ending Balance Settlement Exhibit 1 (2) July 31, 2010 To reverse Under Billing Correction included in ORS schedule at 7-31-10 but not adjusted by SCE&G until October 2010 Less : Differences due to reunding in prior period | 10 LS achedulo at 7-3 | 1-10 but not adjusted b | y SCEARG until On | Acher 2010 | | | | | • | 5,2 |
| Beginning Balance at August 1, 2010 For Company | | | | | | | | | | 3 |
| Aug-10 | 3 033 346 | 97.07.9 | 6767 | | | , | | | | (13,551,603) |
| Aug-10 - Interest (@ 3.131% Uncollectibles-Commodity Only Aus-10 | | 695"015"0 | 0.4016/2 | 0.47717 | (0.015498) | (1) (04,34) (1) | 784,205 (6,089) | | | |
| Aug-10 - Unbilled Revenue influsional | _ | | | | | (103,946) | (6) 2.211.281 (5) (1.811.157) 1,178.240 | | 1.074.294 | (12.477.309) |
| Sep-10 Sept-10 - Interest (§ 3.169% Ubcollectibles-Commodity Oaly | 2,463,063 | 6,833,403 | 0.360445 | 0.43986 | (0.079415) | 9.0 | 1,553,017 | | | |
| Sop-10 - Roverse PAM Usbilled Revenue adjustences Sop-10 - Usbilled Revenue adjustment | | | | | | (360,238) | (4) 1,811,157 (5) (1,907,083) 1,434,759 | | 894,531 | (11.512.778) |
| Oct-10 Cut-10-Interest (\$3.270% Uncelleatibles-Commodity Only | 3,725,137 | 1,285,879 | 0,449577 | 0.40765 | 0.041927 | 347,402 (26,212) (1) (2,6,12) | 2,019,559 1,026 | | | |
| Oct-10 - Reverse PM Upblind Revenue adjustement Oct-10 - Usbilled Revenue adjustment | _ | | | | | | (4) 1,907,083 (3) (2,389,646) 1,338,022 (2) | (25,702) | 1,628,891 | (9,953,847) |
| Nov-10 Nov-10 - Interest @ 3.445% Uncollectibles-Commedity Only | 7,827,164 | 14,039,635 | 0.557505 | 0.40765 | 0.149855 | 2,103,910 (23,790) (3) | 1,420,662 (5,390) | | | |
| NOV-10 - Keverse F/M Unbilled Revenus adjustment Nov-10 - Unbilled Revenus adjustment | 4 | | | | | 2 | (4) 2,589,646 (3) (6,314,933) (2,310,015) | 0 | (231,985) | (10,192,872) |
| Dec-10 Dec-10 - Interest (@3.950% Uncollectibles-Consmodity Only | 24,876,112 | 34,462,816 | 0.721825 | 0.40765 | 0.314175 | 10,E27,355 8,270 (3,157) | (3,922,610) (52,0 9 9) | | | |
| Dec-10 - United Revenue Adjustment Dec-10 - United Revenue Adjustment | ment T | | | | | 10 | (4) 6,314,933 (3) (16,334,603) (13,995,379) | • | (3,164,906) | (877,786,81) |
| | 25,727,22 | 52,610,587 | 0.450998 | 0.43426 | 0.016738 | 280,596 17,906 (1) | (6,489,946) (73,243) | | | |
| Am-1 : Roverne PAM Undilled Revenue adjustment Am-1 : Unbilled Revenue adjustment | | | | | | 896,152 | (4) 16,334,603 (3) (14,672,183) (4,900,771) (5) | 825.176 | (3,177,443) | (15,535,221) |

SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY, INC. - DOCKET NO. 2011-6-G (OVER/JUNDER REVENUE COLLECTION FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED JULY 31, 2011

| | Θ | Ø | (3) | (•) | (5) | 9 | 6 | ŧ | | |
|---|---------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------|---|--|-----------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| | | | Commodity | Palline | | | | 9 | Œ | eg |
| | Total Etm | | Can Per | Commodity | 4 | (Over)/Under | Demand | | Total Monthly (Over/Under | 1 |
| | Commodity Con | Therms | (1) - (2) | Them Py | Difference (3) - (4) | Collection (2) X (5) | (Over)/Under Collection | Prior Month | Collection | (Over)/Under |
| Feb-11 Feb-11-Interest (A. 1.06% Uncollectibles-Commodity Only Feb-11 - Reverse PAN Unbilled Revenue adjustment Feb-11 - Unbilled Revenue adjustment | 13,402,000 Imest | 024,99,520 | 0.315714 | 0.43428 | (0.118346) | (1) (25,022,236) 1,079 1,079 194 (1) (25,020,783) | (5) (3) (3) (3) (46) (3) (3) (46) (46) (47) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (6) (6) (6) (6) (6) (6) (6) (6) (6) (6 | 0 | 151,040,1 | (15,495,070) |
| Mar-11 Mar-11- Interest @ 4 102% Uncollectibles-Commodity Only Mar-11 - Roverse PM Unbilled Roverse adjustment Mar-11 - Unbilled Roverses adjustment | 8,520,802 tenent | 22,368,645 | 0.382637 | 0.46447 | (0.081833) | (1,252,310) (1,252) (1,252) (1,252,701) | (439,880 (45,054) (43,547,384 (5) (5) (5) (6) (7,354,149) (7,354,149) | ۰ | 737.360 | (012 787 M) |
| Apr-11 Apr-11 - Interest (93.950% Uncollectibles-Commodity Only Apr-11 - Rowers PM Unbellack Roverse adjustment Apr-11 - Unbillack Roverse adjustment | 4,591,981 | 14,630,318 | 0.313467 | 0.46447 | (0.150603) | (1, 13,472) (1,184) (1) 13,472 (2,182,082) | 2,260,664 (30,883) 0 (4) 4,354,149 (3) (2,766,519) | ۰ | 1,632,339 | (18.125 ME) |
| May-11 May-11 - Interest (§3.69%) Uncollectible-Commodity Only May-11 - Reverse PAA Urbilled Revenue adjustment May-11 - Unbilled Revenue adjustment | 3,419, 8 63 | 8,240,915 | 0.386472 | 0.46447 | (0.077648) | (13,373) (13,373) (1) 60,803 | 2310,914 (21,147) (4) 2,789,519 (3) (2,529,73) 2,529,311 | | 1.890.262 | 61782719 |
| hm-ii hm-ii - beares (g.3.210% Unodlactibas-Commodiy Only Jun-ii - Reverse PM Unblikel Revesse adjustment hm-ii - Unblikel Revense adjustment | 2,675,119 | 7,882,661 | 0.339359 | 0.46447 | (0.125111) | (946,233) (16,776) (4,759) (948,230) | 1,566,773 (10,007) (4) 2,539,975 (3) (1,965,914) 3,720,777 | • | 277,527 | (8,462,592) |
| Jul-11 - interest (g. 3.445% Uncollectibles-Commodity Only Jul-11 - Reverse PAM Unbilled Revenue adjustances Jul-11 - Unbilled Reverse adjustances Totals | 2,865,973 | 6,397,169 | 0.448006 | 0.45202 | (\$10900°Q) | (13,67) (13,184) (13,184) (13,187) (13,62) | (6) (15.73) (6) (15.73) (7) (15.83) (8) (15.83) (9) (15.83) | (6) (\$583,936) | 1273,500 | (7.189,692) |

Cumulative (Over)/Under Calhetten at July 31, 2011

CHESTO W

SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY, INC. - DOCKET NO. 2011-5-G (OVERYUNDER REVENUE COLLECTION FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED JULY 31, 2011

| (a) | Ournistive (Over/Under |
|-----|---|
| 6 | Total Monthly (OveryUnder Collection (6) + (7) + (9) |
| ε | Prior Month Affastments |
| Θ | Denmand (Over)/Under Collection |
| 9 | Consmodity (Over)/Under Coffection (2) X (5) |
| (3) | Difference (3) - (4) |
| (9) | Billing Commodity Cost Per Therm |
| ව | Commodity Cost Per Therm (1) • (2) |
| (Z) | Total Firm Sales Therms |
| (1) | Total Firm Commodity Con |
| | |
| | |

Note (1): These anomic reflect, on a monthly basis, the commodity cost of gas acrociated with accounts deemed uncollectable, as approved by Commission Order No. 2006-679 dated November 13, 2006.

Note (2): This amount was included in the ORS ending balance for July 2010 but was not adjusted on the Company books until October 2010.

Nets (3): This amount represents the adjustment for subilited revenue as approved in Docinal No. 2009-5-Q. Order No. 2009-910 dated Docember 29, 2009.

Nete (4): This amount is the reversal of the prior menth unbilled revenue adjustment.

Note (5): This infrastreent is the gas reallocation of an electric adjustment approved by the PSC in Docket No. 2011-2-5, Order No. 2011-319 dated April 26, 2011.

Note (6): This adjustment is the retuit of an overstatement in LDC cost of gas and the accompanying cumulative carrying costs related to Jasper electric.

Nete (7): This amount differs from the Company by \$533,926 as described in footnore (6) shows, and \$145 dae to rounding.